

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/014,537	HORANSKY, JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Monique R Jackson	1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) Monique R Jackson.

(2) Ralph E. Smith.

**Status of Application:** Notice of Allowability

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 March 2005

**Time:** 11:20am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

27,28,32

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Applicant's Attorney agreed to an Examiner's Amendment correcting the dependency of Claim 32 to depend upon Claim 27 as originally recited and not upon Claim 28 which only recites first and second polymeric materials not a third as required by Claim 32.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)